

# Representation categories of quantum groups

Leonid Vainerman

October 2010

Master 2 lectures (the topic semester on quantum groups)

## Abstract

We start with the basic notions related to tensor categories and functors. The most important example is the representation category of a quantum group. We discuss braided tensor categories and such important constructions as the center of a tensor category and the Drinfeld's double of a finite group. Finally, we consider ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.

Prerequisites: algebras and modules, tensor product of vector spaces, representation of groups and Hopf algebras.

## 1 Lecture 1. Tensor categories. Braiding.

### 1) Categories and functors.

In what follows  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field with  $\text{char}(k) = 0$ . The most important concrete example is  $k = \mathbb{C}$ .

**Definition 1.1** *A category  $\mathcal{C}$  consists*

- (1) of a class  $\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  whose elements are called **objects** of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- (2) of a class  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$  whose elements are called **morphisms** of  $\mathcal{C}$ ,
- (3) of maps: identity  $\text{id} : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$ , source  $s : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , target  $b : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , composition  $\circ : \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$  such that

$$s(\text{id}_V) = b(\text{id}_V) = V, \quad \text{id}_{b(f)} \circ f = f \circ \text{id}_{s(f)} = f \text{ for all } V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}), f \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$$

and  $(h \circ g) \circ f = h \circ (g \circ f)$  for all  $f, g, h \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$  satisfying  $b(f) = s(g)$  and  $b(g) = s(h)$ . Here  $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{\text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the class of couples  $(f, g)$  of **composable** morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e., such that  $b(f) = s(g)$ . We denote by  $g \circ f$  the composition of  $f$  and  $g$ , and by  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, W)$  the class of morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$  whose source is  $V$  and target is  $W$  ( $V, W \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ ). For  $f \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(V, W)$  we write  $f : V \rightarrow W$ . A morphism  $f : V \rightarrow V$  is called an **endomorphism** of  $V$ , the class of such morphisms is denoted by  $\text{End}(V)$ . A morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is called an **isomorphism** if there is a morphism  $g : W \rightarrow V$  such that  $g \circ f = \text{id}_V$ ,  $f \circ g = \text{id}_W$ .

**Example 1.2** *Categories: **Set** of sets, **Gr** of groups,  $Vec(k)$  of vector spaces,  $Vec_f(k)$  of finite-dimensional vector spaces, **Alg** of associative algebras over  $k$ . Given an algebra  $A$ , we denote by  $Mod(A)$  the category whose objects are left  $A$ -modules and morphisms are  $A$ -linear maps. More examples: the category of  $W^*$ -algebras whose morphisms are normal homomorphisms, the category of Hopf- $W^*$ -algebras whose morphisms are normal homomorphisms of  $W^*$ -algebras such that  $\Delta \circ f = (f \otimes f) \circ \Delta$ .*

The product  $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$  of two categories is the category whose objects are pairs of objects  $(V, W) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$  and morphisms are given by  $Hom_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}}((V, W), (V', W')) = Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(V, V') \times Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(W, W')$ . A **subcategory**  $\mathcal{C}$  of a category  $\mathcal{D}$  consists of a subclass  $Ob(\mathcal{C})$  of  $Ob(\mathcal{D})$  and of a subclass  $Hom(\mathcal{C})$  of  $Hom(\mathcal{D})$  that are stable under the identity, source, target and the composition maps in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

**Definition 1.3** *A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  between two categories consists of a map  $F : Ob(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Ob(\mathcal{D})$  and of a map  $F : Hom(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Hom(\mathcal{D})$  such that*

- (a)  $F(id_V) = id_{F(V)}$  for any  $V \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ ,
- (b)  $s(F(f)) = F(s(f))$  and  $t(F(f)) = F(t(f))$  for any  $f \in Hom(\mathcal{C})$ ,
- (c)  $F(g \circ f) = F(g) \circ F(f)$  for any composable morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called **essentially surjective** if, for any  $W \in Ob(\mathcal{D})$ , there is  $V \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $F(V)$  is isomorphic to  $W$  in  $\mathcal{D}$ .  $F$  is called **faithful** (resp., **fully faithful**) if, for any  $V, V' \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ , the map  $F : Hom_{\mathcal{C}}(V, V') \rightarrow Hom_{\mathcal{D}}(F(V), F(V'))$  on morphisms is injective (resp., bijective).*

The composition of two functors is a functor, for any  $\mathcal{C}$  there is a functor  $id_{\mathcal{C}}$ , the inclusion of a subcategory in a category is a functor.

**Definition 1.4** *A natural transformation  $\eta$  from  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  to  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}'$  (we write  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$ ) is a family of morphisms  $\eta(V) : F(V) \rightarrow G(V)$  in  $\mathcal{C}'$  ( $V \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ ) such that, for any morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , we have  $G(f) \circ \eta(V) = \eta(W) \circ F(f)$ . If, in particular, all of  $\eta(V)$  are isomorphisms, we say that  $\eta : F \rightarrow G$  is a natural isomorphism (in this case  $\eta(V)^{-1}$  defines a natural isomorphism  $\eta^{-1} : G \rightarrow F$ ).*

**Definition 1.5** *A functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is called an equivalence of categories if it is essentially surjective and fully faithful.*

## 2) Tensor (or monoidal) categories and functors.

A **tensor product** on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is functor  $\otimes : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . This means that, for any pairs  $V, W \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $f, g \in Hom(\mathcal{C})$ , there are an object  $V \otimes W \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$  and a morphism  $f \otimes g \in Hom(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $s(f \otimes g) = s(f) \otimes s(g)$ ,  $t(f \otimes g) = t(f) \otimes t(g)$ ,  $id_{V \otimes W} = id_V \otimes id_W$  and  $(f' \otimes g') \circ (f \otimes g) = (f' \circ f) \otimes (g' \circ g)$  for any pairs of composable morphisms  $(f, f')$  and  $(g, g')$ .

An **associativity constraint** for  $\otimes$  is a natural isomorphism  $a : \otimes(\otimes \times id) \rightarrow \otimes(id \times \otimes)$ . This means that, for any  $U, V, W \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ , there is an isomorphism  $a_{U, V, W} : (U \otimes V) \otimes W \rightarrow U \otimes (V \otimes W)$  such that  $[(f \otimes (g \otimes h))] \circ a_{U, V, W} =$

$a_{U',V',W'} \circ [(f \otimes g) \otimes h]$  for any morphisms  $f : U \rightarrow U', g : V \rightarrow V'$  and  $h : W \rightarrow W'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ . This isomorphism should also verify the **Pentagon axiom**:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
(U \otimes (V \otimes W)) \otimes X & \xleftarrow{a_{U,V,W} \otimes id_X} & ((U \otimes V) \otimes W) \otimes X \\
\downarrow a_{U,V \otimes W,X} & & \downarrow a_{U \otimes V,W,X} \\
U \otimes ((V \otimes W) \otimes X) & \xrightarrow{id_U \otimes a_{V,W,X}} & U \otimes (V \otimes (W \otimes X)) \\
& & \downarrow a_{U,V,W \otimes X} \\
& & (U \otimes V) \otimes (W \otimes X)
\end{array}$$

- this diagram commutes for all objects  $U, V, W, X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

A **left (resp., right) unit constraint** with respect to a fixed  $I \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$  is a natural isomorphism  $l : \otimes(I \otimes id) \rightarrow id$  (resp.,  $r : \otimes(id \otimes I) \rightarrow id$ ). This means that, for any  $V \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ , there is an isomorphism  $l_V : I \otimes V \rightarrow V$  (resp.,  $r_V : V \otimes I \rightarrow V$ ) such that  $f \circ l_V = l_{V'}(id_I \otimes f)$  (resp.,  $f \circ r_V = r_{V'}(f \otimes id_I)$ ) for any morphism  $f : V \rightarrow V'$ . The associativity, left and right unit constraints should also verify the **Triangle axiom**:

$$r_V \otimes id_W = (id_V \otimes l_W) \circ a_{V,I,W} \quad \text{for all objects } V, W.$$

**Definition 1.6** A tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, l, r)$  is a category  $\mathcal{C}$  equipped with a tensor product  $\otimes$ , with an associativity constraint  $a$ , with a fixed object  $I$  (called the unit of a tensor category), with left and right unit constraints  $l$  and  $r$  with respect to  $I$  satisfying the Pentagon and the Triangle axioms. It is said to be **strict** if  $\alpha, l, r$  are all identities.

**Example 1.7** 1.  $\mathcal{C} = Vec(k)$  with usual tensor product of vector spaces,  $I = k$ ,  $a((u \otimes v) \otimes w) = u \otimes (v \otimes w)$ ,  $l(1 \otimes v) = v = r(1 \otimes v)$  for all  $v \in V, w \in W, V, W$  - arbitrary vector spaces. The category  $Vec_f(k)$  of finite-dimensional vector spaces is a subcategory of  $Vec(k)$  with the same  $\otimes, a, l, r$  (a tensor subcategory).

2.  $\mathcal{C} = Rep(G)$  - a tensor subcategory of  $Vec(k)$  whose objects are  $G$ -modules (equivalently -  $kG$ -modules), where  $G$ -action  $g \cdot (u \otimes v) = (g \cdot u) \otimes (g \cdot v)$ ,  $g \cdot \lambda = \lambda$  for all  $g \in G, u \in U, v \in V, \lambda \in k, U, V$  -  $G$ -modules. Morphisms -  $G$ -linear maps of  $G$ -modules.

3. More generally, let  $A$  be an associative unital  $k$ -algebra equipped with morphisms  $\Delta : A \rightarrow A \otimes A$  and  $\varepsilon : A \rightarrow k$  of unital algebras. Let  $Mod(A)$  be a category of left  $A$ -modules (i.e., representations of  $A$ ). If  $U, V$  are two left  $A$ -modules, then  $U \otimes V$  becomes a left  $A$ -module by  $a \cdot (u \otimes v) = \Delta(a) \cdot (u \otimes v)$  for all  $a \in A, u \in U, v \in V$ .  $k$  is a left  $A$ -module by  $a \cdot \lambda = \varepsilon(a)\lambda$ . Morphisms -  $A$ -linear maps of  $A$ -modules.

It is clear that  $\otimes$  in  $Vec(k)$  restricts to a functor  $\otimes : Mod(A) \times Mod(A) \rightarrow Mod(A)$  for which  $I = k$  is a unit. Then we have

**Proposition 1.8** Let  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  be a triple as above. It is a **bialgebra** (i.e.,  $(\Delta \otimes id)\Delta = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta$ ,  $(\varepsilon \otimes id)\Delta = id = (id \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta$ ) iff  $Mod(A)$  is a tensor subcategory of  $Vec(k)$  (i.e., with the same  $\otimes, a, l, r$ ).

**Proof.** (i) **Exercise.** Let  $(A, \varphi, \eta, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  be a bialgebra and  $U, V, W$  be left  $A$ -modules. Check that the canonical isomorphisms of vector spaces  $a_{U,V,W} :$

$(U \otimes V) \otimes W \rightarrow U \otimes (V \otimes W)$ ,  $l_V : k \otimes V \rightarrow V$  and  $r_V : V \otimes k \rightarrow V$  are left  $A$ -module morphisms.

(ii) Conversely, let  $\text{Mod}(A)$  be a tensor subcategory of  $\text{Vec}(k)$ . The  $A$ -linearity of  $a_{A,A,A}$  means that, for all  $b, u, v, w \in A$ :

$$a_{A,A,A}(b \cdot [(u \otimes v) \otimes w]) = b \cdot a_{A,A,A}[(u \otimes v) \otimes w].$$

By definition of  $a_{A,A,A}$ , this can be rewritten as

$$((\Delta \otimes \text{id})\Delta(b)) \cdot [u \otimes (v \otimes w)] = (\text{id} \otimes \Delta)\Delta(b) \cdot [u \otimes (v \otimes w)].$$

For  $u, v, w = 1_A$ , we get the coassociativity of  $\Delta$ . Similarly,  $l_A$  and  $r_A$  are  $A$ -linear iff  $(\varepsilon \otimes \text{id})\Delta(b) = b$  (resp.,  $(\text{id} \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta(b) = b$ ) for all  $b \in A$ .  $\square$

In what follows we will denote  $\text{Rep}(A) = (\text{Mod}(A), \otimes)$ .

**Remark 1.9**  $\text{Mod}(A)$  is a tensor category (not necessarily strict) iff  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a quasi-bialgebra - see [1].

**Example 1.10** of a non strict tensor category.

Consider the strict tensor category  $\mathcal{C} = \text{Rep}(A)$ , where  $(A = \text{Fun}(G), \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is the bialgebra associated with a finite group  $G$  and change the associativity constraint. Since  $A$  is semisimple, any left  $A$ -module is completely reducible, so in order to define a morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$ , it suffices to define it only for irreducible components of  $V$  and  $W$  (such categories are called semisimple). But all irreducible  $A$ -modules are 1-dimensional and are parameterized by the elements of  $G : f \cdot V_g = f(g)V_g$ , and the only nontrivial morphisms between them are of the form  $\lambda \text{id}_{V_g}$ , where  $\lambda \in k$ ,  $g \in G$ . Since  $\Delta(f)(g, h) := f(gh)$ ,  $\varepsilon(f) = f(e)$  for all  $f \in \text{Fun}(G)$ ,  $g, h \in G$ , then  $V_g \otimes V_h = V_{gh}$ ,  $I = V_e$ , where  $e$  is the unit of  $G$ . Thus, in order to study possible associativity constraints in  $\mathcal{C}$ , it suffices to study the Pentagon axiom for irreducibles parameterized by  $g, h, k, l \in G$ .

First, we see that  $a_{V_g, V_h, V_k} : (V_g \otimes V_h) \otimes V_k \rightarrow V_g \otimes (V_h \otimes V_k)$  must be of the form  $a_{V_g, V_h, V_k} = \omega(g, h, k) \text{id}_{V_{ghk}}$ , where  $\omega : G \times G \times G \rightarrow k^\times$  is a scalar function. Second, the Pentagon axiom is equivalent to

$$\omega(g, h, kl)\omega(gh, k, l)\omega(g, h, k) = \omega(h, k, l)\omega(g, hk, l) \quad \text{for all } g, h, k, l \in G,$$

-the 3-cocycle equation. Thus, taking nontrivial 3-cocycles on  $G$ , we get various structures of non strict tensor category on  $\text{Mod}(\text{Fun}(G))$ .

**Definition 1.11** (a) Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I_{\mathcal{C}}, a, l, r)$  and  $(\mathcal{D}, \otimes, I_{\mathcal{D}}, a, l, r)$  be tensor categories. A **tensor functor** from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is a triple  $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2)$ , where  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor,  $\varphi_0 : I_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F(I_{\mathcal{C}})$  is an isomorphism, and  $\varphi_2(U, V) : F(U) \otimes F(V) \rightarrow F(U \otimes V)$  is a family of natural isomorphisms indexed by all couples of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (F(U) \otimes F(V)) \otimes F(W) & \xrightarrow{a_{F(U), F(V), F(W)}} & F(U) \otimes (F(V) \otimes F(W)) \\ \varphi_2(U, V) \otimes \text{id}_{F(W)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id}_{F(U)} \otimes \varphi_2(V, W) \\ F(U \otimes V) \otimes F(W) & & F(U) \otimes F(V \otimes W) \\ \varphi_2(U \otimes V, W) \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi_2(U, V \otimes W) \\ F((U \otimes V) \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{F(a_{U, V, W})} & F(U \otimes (V \otimes W)) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes F(U) & \xrightarrow{l_{F(U)}} & F(U) \\
\varphi_0 \otimes id_{F(U)} \downarrow & & F(l_U) \uparrow \\
F(I_{\mathcal{C}}) \otimes F(U) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(I_{\mathcal{C}}, U)} & F(I_{\mathcal{C}} \otimes U) \\
\\ 
F(U) \otimes I_{\mathcal{D}} & \xrightarrow{r_{F(U)}} & F(U) \\
id_{F(U)} \otimes \varphi_0 \downarrow & & F(r_U) \uparrow \\
F(U) \otimes F(I_{\mathcal{C}}) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(U, I_{\mathcal{C}})} & F(U \otimes I_{\mathcal{C}})
\end{array}$$

commute for all objects  $U, V, W$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is said to be **strict** if  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_2$  are identities of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

(b) A natural tensor transformation  $\eta : (F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2) \rightarrow (F', \varphi'_0, \varphi'_2)$  of tensor functors from  $\mathcal{C}$  to  $\mathcal{D}$  is a natural transformation  $\eta : F \rightarrow F'$  such that the following diagrams commute for all couples  $(U, V)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
F(U) \otimes F(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2(U, V)} & F(U \otimes V) \\
\eta(U) \otimes \eta(V) \downarrow & & \downarrow \eta(U \otimes V) \\
F'(U) \otimes F'(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi'_2(U, V)} & F'(U \otimes V)
\end{array}$$

and  $\varphi'_0 = \eta(I_{\mathcal{C}}) \circ \varphi_0$ . A natural tensor isomorphism is a natural tensor transformation that is also a natural isomorphism.

c) A tensor equivalence of tensor categories is a tensor functor  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that there exists a tensor functor  $F' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  and a natural tensor isomorphisms  $\eta : id_{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow F \circ F'$  and  $\theta : F' \circ F \rightarrow id_{\mathcal{C}}$ .

A composition of tensor functors is again a tensor functor, and the identity functor is a strict tensor functor.

**Example 1.12** 1. Let  $A$  be a bialgebra. The forgetful functor associating to an  $A$ -module its underlying vector space is a strict tensor functor from  $Rep(A)$  to  $Vec(k)$ .

2. Let  $f : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$  be a morphism of bialgebras. We can equip any  $A_2$ -module  $V$  with an  $A_1$ -module structure by  $a \cdot v := f(a) \cdot v$  for all  $a \in A_1, v \in V$ . This gives a strict tensor functor  $f^* : Rep(A_2) \rightarrow Rep(A_1)$ .

**Remark 1.13** One can show (see [1]) that any tensor category is tensor equivalent to a strict tensor category.

### 3) Braided tensor categories and functors.

**Definition 1.14** a) A braiding in a tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, l, r)$  is a natural isomorphism  $c : \otimes \rightarrow \otimes \circ \tau$ , where  $\tau : \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the flip functor defined by  $\tau(V, W) = (W, V)$  on any pair of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e., a family of isomorphisms  $c_{V, W} : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$  defined for any couple  $(V, W)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that,

for any morphisms  $f : V \rightarrow V'$  and  $g : W \rightarrow W'$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V \otimes W & \xrightarrow{c_{V,W}} & W \otimes V \\ f \otimes g \downarrow & & \downarrow g \otimes f \\ V' \otimes W' & \xrightarrow{c_{V',W'}} & W' \otimes V' \end{array}$$

commutes and satisfies the **Hexagon axioms**, i.e., the diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U \otimes (V \otimes W) & \xrightarrow{c_{U,V \otimes W}} & (V \otimes W) \otimes U \\ \uparrow a_{U,V,W} & & \downarrow a_{V,W,U} \\ (U \otimes V) \otimes W & & V \otimes (W \otimes U) \\ c_{U,V} \otimes id_W \downarrow & & id_V \otimes c_{U,W} \uparrow \\ (V \otimes U) \otimes W & \xrightarrow{a_{V,U,W}} & V \otimes (U \otimes W) \end{array}$$
  

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U \otimes V) \otimes W & \xrightarrow{c_{U \otimes V,W}} & W \otimes (U \otimes V) \\ \uparrow a_{U,V,W}^{-1} & & \downarrow a_{W,U,V}^{-1} \\ U \otimes (V \otimes W) & & (W \otimes U) \otimes V \\ id_U \otimes c_{V,W} \downarrow & & c_{U,W} \otimes id_V \uparrow \\ U \otimes (W \otimes V) & \xrightarrow{a_{U,W,V}^{-1}} & (U \otimes W) \otimes V \end{array}$$

commute for all objects  $U, V, W$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

b) A braided tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, a, l, r, c)$  is a tensor category with braiding.

Remark that if  $c$  is a braiding, then so is  $c^{-1}$ . In a strict tensor category the above diagrams are equivalent, respectively, to

$$c_{U,V \otimes W} = (id_V \otimes c_{U,W})(c_{U,V} \otimes id_W) \text{ and } c_{U \otimes V,W} = (c_{U,W} \otimes id_V)(id_U \otimes c_{V,W}),$$

from where, in particular,  $c_{I,I} = id_I$ .

**Example 1.15** 1. The usual tensor flip  $\tau$  of vector spaces is a braiding in  $Vec(k)$  and in  $Rep(G)$ .

2. Braiding in the category of representations of a bialgebra.

**Definition 1.16** Let  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  be a bialgebra. An invertible element  $R = \sum a_i \otimes b_i = R_{(1)} \otimes R_{(2)} \in A \otimes A$  is called a **universal R-matrix** if it satisfies

$$\Delta^{op}(a) = R\Delta(a)R^{-1}, \quad (id \otimes \Delta)R = R_{13}R_{12}, \quad (\Delta \otimes id)R = R_{13}R_{23},$$

where  $a \in A$ ,  $R_{12} = R \otimes 1$ ,  $R_{23} = 1 \otimes R$  and  $R_{13} = \sum a_i \otimes 1 \otimes b_i$ . A bialgebra (resp., Hopf algebra) possessing a universal R-matrix is called braided or quasi-triangular.

**Exercises.** 1. Show that a universal  $R$ -matrix verifies  $(\varepsilon \otimes id)(R) = (id \otimes \varepsilon)(R) = 1_A$ .

**Hint:** Apply  $id \otimes \varepsilon \otimes id$  to the two last equalities of the definition of a universal  $R$ -matrix.

2. Show that a universal  $R$ -matrix verifies  $R_{12}R_{13}R_{23} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}$  - the **quantum Yang-Baxter equation**.

3. Let  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon, S)$  be a braided Hopf algebra with invertible antipode  $S$  and with a universal  $R$ -matrix  $R$ . Using Exercises 1 and 2, and relations  $m(S \otimes id_A)\Delta(a) = m(id_A \otimes S)\Delta(a) = m(S^{-1} \otimes id_A)\Delta^{op}(a) = m(id_A \otimes S^{-1})\Delta^{op}(a) = \varepsilon(a)1$  (for all  $a \in A$ ), show that  $R^{-1} = (S \otimes id_A)(R) = (id_A \otimes S^{-1})(R)$ .

**Proposition 1.17** *A bialgebra  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is braided iff the strict tensor category  $Rep(A)$  is braided.*

**Proof.** a) Let  $R$  be a universal  $R$ -matrix for  $A$ . Let us define isomorphisms  $c_{V,W}^R : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$  by

$$c_{V,W}^R(v \otimes w) = \tau_{V,W}(R(v \otimes w)) \quad \text{for all } v \in V, w \in W.$$

Its inverse is given by  $(c_{V,W}^R)^{-1}(w \otimes v) = R^{-1}(v \otimes w)$  from where  $(c_{V,W}^R)^{-1} \circ \tau_{V,W}(v \otimes w) = R^{-1}(v \otimes w)$ .

Now let us check that the axioms for  $R$  are equivalent to the requirement that  $c_{V,W}$  is a braiding. First,  $c_{V,W}$  is  $A$ -linear:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cdot c_{V,W}^R(v \otimes w) &= \Delta(a) \cdot \tau_{V,W}(R(v \otimes w)) = \tau_{V,W}(\Delta^{op}(a)R(v \otimes w)) = \\ &= \tau_{V,W}(R\Delta(a)(v \otimes w)) = c_{V,W}(a \cdot (v \otimes w)). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (id_V \otimes c_{U,W}^R)(c_{U,V}^R \otimes id_W)(u \otimes v \otimes w) &= R_{(2)}v \otimes R'_{(2)}w \otimes R'_{(1)}R_{(1)}u = \\ &= \Delta(R_{(2)}) \cdot (v \otimes w) \otimes R_{(1)}u = c_{U,V \otimes W}(u \otimes v \otimes w) \end{aligned}$$

because  $(id \otimes \Delta)(R) = R_{13}R_{12} = R'_{(1)}R_{(1)} \otimes R_{(2)} \otimes R'_{(2)}$ . Similarly one can check the remaining relation for  $c_{V,W}$ .

b) Let  $c$  be a braiding in  $Rep(A)$ , where  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  is a bialgebra. Let us show that an invertible element  $R := \tau_{A,A}(c_{A,A}(1 \otimes 1))$  is a universal  $R$ -matrix. For any  $v \in V, w \in W$ , where  $V$  and  $W$  are  $A$ -modules, define  $A$ -linear maps  $\alpha_v : A \rightarrow V$  and  $\alpha_w : A \rightarrow W$  by  $\alpha_v(1) = v, \alpha_w(1) = w$ , then the naturality of  $c$  implies that  $(\alpha_w \otimes \alpha_v) \circ c_{A,A} = c_{V,W} \circ (\alpha_v \otimes \alpha_w)$ , from where:

$$c_{V,W}(v \otimes w) = (\alpha_w \otimes \alpha_v)(c_{A,A}(1 \otimes 1)) = \tau_{V,W}((\alpha_v \otimes \alpha_w)(R)) = \tau_{V,W}(R(v \otimes w)).$$

The  $A$ -linearity of  $c_{A,A}$  means that  $c_{A,A}(a \cdot (1 \otimes 1)) = a \cdot c_{A,A}(1 \otimes 1)$  for all  $a \in A$ , from where, using the previous relation,  $\Delta(a)\tau_{A,A}(R) = \tau_{A,A}(R\Delta(a))$  or  $\Delta^{op}(a)R = R\Delta(a)$ . The commutativity of the hexagons with  $U = V = W = A, \alpha_{A \otimes A} = \Delta$  implies the remaining relations for  $R$ .  $\square$

**Example 1.18** *Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra.*

*Let  $A$  be the algebra generated by two elements  $x$  and  $y$  and relations*

$$x^2 = 1, \quad y^2 = 0, \quad yx + xy = 0.$$

The set  $\{1, x, y, xy\}$  forms a basis of the vector space underlying  $A$ . There is a unique Hopf algebra structure on  $A$  such that

$$\Delta(x) = x \otimes x, \Delta(y) = 1 \otimes y + y \otimes x, S(x) = x, S(y) = xy, \varepsilon(x) = 1, \varepsilon(y) = 0.$$

Observe that  $S$  is of order 4 and that, for any  $a \in A$ , we have  $S^2(a) = xax^{-1}$ . Let us put

$$R_q = \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1 - x \otimes x) + \frac{q}{2}(y \otimes y + y \otimes xy + xy \otimes xy - xy \otimes y),$$

where  $q \in k$ . It is easy to show that  $R_q$  is a universal  $R$ -matrix for  $A$ , so we have a family of concrete examples of braided Hopf algebras parameterized by  $q$ . Observe that  $R_q^{-1} = \tau_{A,A}(R_q)$ .

**Definition 1.19** A tensor functor  $(F, \varphi_0, \varphi_2)$  between braided tensor categories  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  is said to be braided if, for any pair  $(V, W)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(V) \otimes F(W) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & F(V \otimes W) \\ \downarrow c_{F(V), F(W)} & & \downarrow F(c_{V,W}) \\ F(W) \otimes F(V) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_2} & F(W \otimes V) \end{array}$$

commutes. Let us mention important special class of braided categories

**Definition 1.20** A braided tensor category is said to be **symmetric** if its braiding verifies  $c_{W,V} \circ c_{V,W} = id_{V \otimes W}$  for all objects  $V, W$  of this category. Such a braiding is called a **symmetry**.

Note that for symmetric tensor categories the hexagon axioms are equivalent.

**Example 1.21** 1.  $Vec(k)$  or  $Vec_f(k)$  with the usual flip.

2. Let  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  be a **cocommutative** bialgebra:  $\Delta = \tau_{A,A} \circ \Delta = \Delta^{op}$  with the flip  $\tau_{A,A} : A \otimes A \rightarrow A \otimes A$ . Then the usual flip  $\tau_{V \otimes W} : V \otimes W \rightarrow W \otimes V$  is a symmetry in  $Rep(A)$  - the universal  $R$ -matrix in this case is just  $1 \otimes 1$ .

## 2 Lecture 2. The center of a tensor category. Quantum double of a finite group.

### 1) The center of a strict tensor category.

Now we give a construction which assigns to any strict tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$  a braided tensor category  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  called the **center** of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Definition 2.1** Objects of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  are pairs  $(V, c_{-,V})$ , where  $V$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that there exists  $c_{-,V}$ , a family of natural isomorphisms  $c_{X,V} : X \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes X$  defined for all objects  $X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$ , such that

$$c_{X \otimes Y, V} = (c_{X,V} \otimes id_Y)(id_X \otimes c_{Y,V}) \quad \text{for all } X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{C}). \quad (1)$$

A morphism from  $(V, c_{-,V})$  to  $(W, c_{-,W})$  is a morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$(f \otimes id_X)c_{X,V} = c_{X,W}(id_X \otimes f) \quad \text{for all } X \in Ob(\mathcal{C}). \quad (2)$$

Clearly,  $(I, id_X) \in Ob(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$  and if  $(V, c_{-,V}) \in Ob(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ , then  $id_V : (V, c_{-,V}) \rightarrow (V, c_{-,V})$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ ; if  $f, g$  are composable morphisms in  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ , then  $g \circ f$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ . So, the identity of  $(V, c_{-,V})$  in  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  is  $id_V$ .

The naturality in Definition 2.1 means that the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes V & \xrightarrow{c_{X,V}} & V \otimes X \\ f \otimes id_V \downarrow & & \downarrow id_V \otimes f \\ Y \otimes V & \xrightarrow{c_{Y,V}} & V \otimes Y \end{array}$$

commutes for any morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *The center  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  of a strict tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$  is a strict braided tensor category, where:*

(i) *the tensor product  $(V, c_{-,V}) \otimes (W, c_{-,W}) = (V \otimes W, c_{-,V \otimes W})$ , where the morphism  $c_{X,V \otimes W} : X \otimes V \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes W \otimes X$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined,  $\forall X \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ , by*

$$c_{X,V \otimes W} = (id_V \otimes c_{X,W})(c_{X,V} \otimes id_W), \quad (3)$$

(ii) *the unit object is  $(I, id_X)$ ;*

(iii) *the braiding is given by*

$$c_{V,W} : (V, c_{-,V}) \otimes (W, c_{-,W}) \rightarrow (W, c_{-,W}) \otimes (V, c_{-,V}).$$

**Proof.** (a) Given  $(V, c_{-,V}), (W, c_{-,W}) \in Ob(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}))$ , we show that so is  $(V \otimes W, c_{-,V \otimes W})$ . Indeed, by definition of  $(V, c_{-,V}), (W, c_{-,W})$ ,  $c_{X,V \otimes W}$  is an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}$  natural in  $X$ . For all  $X, Y \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} c_{X \otimes Y, V \otimes W} &= (id_V \otimes c_{X \otimes Y, W})(c_{X \otimes Y, V} \otimes id_W) = \\ &= (id_V \otimes c_{X,W} \otimes id_Y)(id_{V \otimes X} \otimes c_{Y,W}) \times \\ &\times (c_{X,V} \otimes id_{Y \otimes W})(id_X \otimes c_{Y,V} \otimes id_W) = \\ &= (id_V \otimes c_{X,W} \otimes id_Y)(c_{X,V} \otimes id_{W \otimes Y}) \times \\ &\times (id_{X \otimes V} \otimes c_{Y,W})(id_X \otimes c_{Y,V} \otimes id_W) = \\ &= (c_{X,V \otimes W} \otimes id_Y)(id_X \otimes c_{Y,V \otimes W}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and fourth equalities follow from (3), the second one from (1), and the third one by the naturality of  $\otimes$ .

(b) Given  $f : (V, c_{-,V}) \rightarrow (W, c_{-,W})$  and  $f' : (V', c_{-,V'}) \rightarrow (W', c_{-,W'})$  morphisms of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ , we show that so is  $f \otimes f'$ . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} (f \otimes f' \otimes id_X)c_{X,V \otimes V'} &= \\ &= (f \otimes id_{W'} \otimes id_X)(id_V \otimes f' \otimes id_X)(id_V \otimes c_{X,V'}) (c_{X,V} \otimes id_{V'}) = \\ &= (f \otimes id_{W'} \otimes id_X)(id_V \otimes c_{X,W'}) (id_V \otimes id_X \otimes f') (c_{X,V} \otimes id_{V'}) = \\ &= (id_{W'} \otimes c_{X,W'}) (f \otimes id_X \otimes id_{W'}) (c_{X,V} \otimes id_{W'}) (id_X \otimes id_V \otimes f') = \\ &= (id_{W'} \otimes c_{X,W'}) (c_{X,V} \otimes id_{W'}) (id_X \otimes f \otimes id_{W'}) (id_X \otimes id_V \otimes f') = \\ &= c_{X,W \otimes W'} (id_X \otimes f \otimes f'). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and fourth equalities follow from (3) and from the naturality of  $\otimes$ , the second and fourth ones from (2), and the third one from the definition of the tensor product of morphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Now it is clear that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  is a strict tensor category because  $\otimes$  is well defined on its objects and morphisms and has all needed properties because it does so in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let us show that  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  is braided.

(c)  $c_{V,W}$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  because, for all  $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (c_{V,W} \otimes id_X)c_{X,V \otimes W} &= (c_{V,W} \otimes id_X)(id_V \otimes c_{X,W})(c_{X,V} \otimes id_W) = \\ &= c_{V \otimes X, W}(c_{X,V} \otimes id_W) = (id_W \otimes c_{X,V})c_{X \otimes V, W} = \\ &= (id_W \otimes c_{X,V})(c_{X,W} \otimes id_V)(id_X \otimes c_{V,W}) = c_{X, W \otimes V}(id_X \otimes c_{V,W}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the first and the last equalities follow from (3), the second and fourth ones from (1), and the third one from the naturality of  $c_{-,V}$ .

(d) The morphism  $c_{V,W}$  is invertible by definition and is natural with respect to morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ , hence to those of  $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ . Now the axioms of braiding in strict tensor categories follow from the definitions of  $c_{-,V}$  and  $c_{X,V \otimes W}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.3** For any strict braided tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, c)$ , the map  $V \rightarrow (V, c_{-,V})$  can be extended to a strict braided tensor functor  $Z : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$  such that  $\Pi \circ Z = id_{\mathcal{C}}$ , where  $\Pi : \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  is the forgetful strict tensor functor:  $\Pi(V, c_{-,V}) = V$  - see [1].

## 2) Quantum double of a finite group.

Given a Hopf algebra, the quantum double construction, due to V.G. Drinfeld, allows to get a braided Hopf algebra. Here we consider the case of the Hopf algebra associated with a finite group algebra.

**Definition 2.4** a) A left action of a bialgebra  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  on a unital algebra  $M$  is a linear map  $A \otimes M \rightarrow M$ ,  $a \otimes m \mapsto a \cdot m$  such that:

$$a \cdot (xy) = (a_{(1)} \cdot x)(a_{(2)} \cdot y), \quad a \cdot 1 = \varepsilon(a)1 \quad (a \in A, x, y \in M),$$

where  $\Delta(a) := a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)}$  is the Sweedler's leg notation. If  $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon, *)$  is a  $*$ -Hopf algebra and  $M$  is a  $*$ -algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then we also require that

$$(a \cdot x)^* = S(a)^* \cdot x^*.$$

b) **Crossed product** of  $A$  by  $M$ :  $M \rtimes A = M \otimes A$  as vector space equipped with the product

$$[m \otimes a][n \otimes b] = [m(a_{(1)} \cdot n) \otimes a_{(2)}b],$$

In  $*$ -case we also have  $[m \otimes a]^* = [a_{(1)}^* \cdot m^* \otimes a_{(2)}^*]$ .

If  $A = kG$ , we have:  $g \cdot (xy) = (g \cdot x)(g \cdot y)$ ,  $g \cdot 1 = 1$  for all  $g \in G$ ,  $[m \otimes g][n \otimes h] = [m(g \cdot n) \otimes gh]$ .

**Exercise.** Check that the product in  $M \rtimes A$  is associative with unit  $1_M \otimes 1_A$ .

The group algebra  $kG$  of a finite group  $G$  is a Hopf algebra with coproduct, antipode and counit:

$$\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad S(g) = g^{-1}, \quad \varepsilon(g) = 1 \quad (g \in G).$$

Its dual  $Fun(G)$  is a Hopf algebra with coproduct, antipode and counit:

$$\Delta(e_g) = \sum_{uv=g} (e_u \otimes e_v), \quad S(e_g) = e_{g^{-1}}, \quad \varepsilon(e_g) = \delta_{g,e},$$

where  $e_g$  is a characteristic function of the set  $\{g\}$ ,  $\delta_{g,1}$  is the Kronecker symbol, and 1 is the unit of  $G$ . We consider the action of  $kG$  on  $Fun(G)$  by conjugation:  $g \cdot e_h := e_{ghg^{-1}}$  and equip the vector space  $D(G) = Fun(G) \otimes kG$  with the crossed product

$$(e_g \otimes 1)(1 \otimes h) = (e_g \otimes h), \quad (1 \otimes h)(e_g \otimes 1) = e_{hgh^{-1}} \otimes h,$$

$(e_g \otimes h)_{g,h \in G}$  is a basis in  $D(G)$ . In order to get a braided Hopf algebra structure on  $D(G)$ , we define also the coproduct, counit, antipode and the universal  $R$ -matrix:

$$\Delta(e_g \otimes h) = \sum_{uv=g} (e_v \otimes h \otimes e_u \otimes h), \quad \varepsilon(e_g \otimes h) = \delta_{g,e},$$

$$S(e_g \otimes 1) = e_{g^{-1}} \otimes 1, \quad S(1 \otimes h) = 1 \otimes h^{-1}, \quad R = \sum_{g \in G} (1 \otimes g \otimes e_g \otimes 1).$$

**Exercise.** Check that  $D(G)$  is indeed a braided Hopf algebra and that  $S^2 = id$ .

**Theorem 2.5** *The braided tensor categories  $\mathcal{Z}(Rep(G))$  and  $Rep(D(G))$  are equivalent.*

We start the proof with the following

**Lemma 2.6** *Let  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$  be a bialgebra,  $V, c_{-,V}$  be an object of  $\mathcal{Z}(Rep(A))$  and  $\Delta_V : V \rightarrow V \otimes A$  be the map defined, for all  $v \in V$ , by  $\Delta_V(v) = c_{A,V}(1 \otimes v)$ . Then:*

- (i)  $(\Delta_V \otimes id)\Delta_V = (id \otimes \Delta)\Delta_V$ ;
  - (ii)  $(id \otimes \varepsilon)\Delta_V = id_V$ .
  - (iii)  $\Delta(a)\Delta_V(v) = \sum_{(a)} \Delta_V(a_{(2)}v)(1 \otimes a_{(1)})$ .
- Conditions (i),(ii) mean that  $V$  is a right  $(A, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ -comodule.*

**Proof.** By convention,  $\Delta_V(v) = \sum_{(v)} (v_V \otimes v_A) \in V \otimes A$  for any  $v \in V$ . The naturality of  $c_{-,V}$  allows to express  $c_{X,V}$  in terms of  $\Delta_V$  for any  $A$ -module  $X$ . Indeed, given  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha_x : A \rightarrow X$  the unique  $A$ -linear map such that  $\alpha_x : 1 \rightarrow x$ , we have  $(id_V \otimes \alpha_x)c_{A,V} = c_{X,V}(\alpha_x \otimes id_V)$ , from where

$$c_{X,V}(x \otimes v) = \Delta_V(v)(1 \otimes x) = \sum_{(v)} (v_V \otimes v_A x). \quad (4)$$

Let us show (i). By (1) we have:

$$c_{X \otimes Y, V}(x \otimes y \otimes v) = \sum_{(v)} (v_V \otimes (v_A)_{(1)}x \otimes (v_A)_{(2)}y) =$$

$$(c_{X,V} \otimes id_Y)((id_X \otimes c_{Y,V})(x \otimes y \otimes v)) = \sum_{(v)} ((v_V)_V \otimes (v_V)_A x \otimes v_A y).$$

Setting  $X = Y = A$  and  $x = y = 1$ , we get

$$\sum_{(v)} (v_V \otimes (v_A)_{(1)} \otimes (v_A)_{(2)}) = \sum_{(v)} ((v_V)_V \otimes (v_V)_A \otimes v_A),$$

which proves (i).

We also have  $c_{k,V} = id_V$  because  $k = I$  is the unit object (this follows from (1)). This implies  $c_{k,V}(1 \otimes v) = \sum_{(v)} \varepsilon(v_A)v_V = v$  which proves (ii).

Since  $c_{X,V}$  is  $A$ -linear, then we have  $a \cdot c_{X,V}(x \otimes v) = c_{X,V}(a \cdot (x \otimes v))$ , for all  $a \in A, v \in V, x \in X$ , or

$$\Delta(a)\Delta_V(v)(1 \otimes x) = \left( \sum_{(a)} \Delta_V(a_{(2)}v)(1 \otimes a_{(1)}) \right) (1 \otimes x).$$

Setting  $X = A, x = 1$ , we obtain (iii). In particular, if  $A = kG$ ,  $\Delta_V(h \cdot v)(1 \otimes h) = \Delta(h)\Delta_V(v)$ , for all  $h \in G, v \in V$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7** *If  $A = kG$ , any  $V$  as above is a left  $D(G)$ -module.*

**Proof.** Taking in mind the crossed product structure of  $D(G)$ , it suffices to show that  $V$  is both  $kG$ - and  $Fun(G)$ -module and these actions verify

$$h \cdot (e_g \cdot v) = e_{hgh^{-1}} \cdot (h \cdot v) \quad \text{for all } v \in V, g, h \in G.$$

First, let us precise the action of  $Fun(G)$  on  $V$ . Since any  $A$ -comodule is automatically an  $A^*$ -module, so one can put  $f \cdot v := (id \otimes f)(\Delta_V(v)) \forall f \in Fun(G)$ .

**Exercise.** Check that this is indeed a left action.

Then, relations (iii) and  $\langle e_g, a \rangle = \langle e_{hgh^{-1}}, hah^{-1} \rangle$  ( $a \in kG$ ) give:

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (e_g \cdot v) &= \sum_{(v)} \langle e_g, v_A \rangle (h \cdot v_V) = \sum_{(v)} \langle e_{hgh^{-1}}, hv_A h^{-1} \rangle (h \cdot v_V) = \\ &= \sum_{(v)} \langle e_{hgh^{-1}}, (h \cdot v)_A \rangle (h \cdot v)_V = e_{hgh^{-1}} \cdot (h \cdot v). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.8** *If  $A = kG, X$  is an  $A$ -module,  $V$  as above, then  $c_{X,V}(x \otimes v) = \tau_{X,V}(R(x \otimes v))$  for all  $x \in X, v \in V$ .*

**Proof.** Using (4) and the definition of the action of  $A^*$  on  $V$ , we have, using the decomposition  $a = \sum_g \langle e_g, a \rangle g$  for all  $a \in A$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{X,V}(x \otimes v) &= \sum_{(v)} (v_V \otimes v_A x) = \sum_{(v), g} (\langle e_g, v_A \rangle v_V \otimes g \cdot x) = \\ &= \sum_g ((e_g \cdot v) \otimes g \cdot x) = \tau_{X,V}(R(x \otimes v)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

**Proof of Theorem 2.5.**

(i) Let us define a faithful functor  $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G)) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(D(G))$ . Corollary 2.7 shows that the map  $\mathcal{F}(V, c_{-,V}) := V$  is well defined on objects. Recall that the action of  $D(G)$  on  $V$  is defined by

$$(gf) \cdot v = \sum_{(v)} \langle f, v_A \rangle g \cdot v_V \quad \text{for all } g \in G, f \in \text{Fun}(G), v \in V. \quad (5)$$

If  $\varphi : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ , then it is, by definition, a morphism in  $\text{Rep}(G)$ , but also, due to (2) and the definition of  $\Delta_V$ , a morphism of  $A = kG$ -comodules (i.e.,  $\Delta_W(\varphi(v)) = (\varphi \otimes id_A)\Delta_V(v)$ ), hence of  $\text{Fun}(G)$ -modules. Thus,  $\varphi$  is  $D(G)$ -linear and  $\mathcal{F}$  is a faithful functor.

(ii) Let us show that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a strict tensor functor. Recall that  $(V, c_{-,V}) \otimes (W, c_{-,W}) = (V \otimes W, c_{-,V \otimes W})$ , where  $c_{-,V \otimes W}$  is determined by  $c_{A, V \otimes W} = (id_V \otimes c_{A,W})(c_{A,V} \otimes id_W)$ , therefore,

$$\Delta_{V \otimes W}(v \otimes w) = \sum_{(v), (w)} v_V \otimes w_W \otimes w_A v_A$$

- this is the tensor product of the right comodule structures on  $V$  and  $W$ , and from (5) we have (using the definition of  $\Delta$  on  $A^* = \text{Fun}(G)$ ):

$$\begin{aligned} f \cdot (v \otimes w) &= \sum_{(v), (w)} \langle f, (w_A v_A) \rangle v_V \otimes w_W = \\ &= \sum_{(v), (w)} \langle \Delta(f), v_A \otimes w_A \rangle v_V \otimes w_W = \Delta(f) \cdot (v \otimes w). \end{aligned}$$

So, the action of  $D(G)$  on  $V \otimes W$ , for all  $g \in G, f \in \text{Fun}(G)$ , is given by

$$(g \cdot f)(v \otimes w) = \Delta(g)[\Delta(f) \cdot (v \otimes w)] = \Delta(g \cdot f) \cdot (v \otimes w),$$

which is the action given by the coproduct of  $D(G)$ .

(iii) The tensor functor  $\mathcal{F}$  is braided because, by definition of the braiding in  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ , Lemma 2.8 gives  $\mathcal{F}(c_{V,W})(v \otimes w) = \tau_{V,W}(R(v \otimes w))$ , which is the braiding in  $\text{Rep}(D(G))$ .

(iv) Let us construct a functor  $\mathcal{G} : \text{Rep}(D(G)) \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ . For any  $D(G)$ -module  $V$  and  $A = kG$ -module  $X$ , let us define  $c_{X,V}$  by

$$c_{X,V}(x \otimes v) = \tau_{X,V}(R(x \otimes v)) \quad \text{for all } x \in X, v \in V.$$

Let us show that  $\mathcal{G}(V) = (V, c_{-,V})$  is an object of  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ . Since  $R$  is invertible,  $c_{X,V} : X \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes X$  is an isomorphism. It is  $A$ -linear because, for all  $a \in A = kG$ :

$$\begin{aligned} c_{X,V}(a(x \otimes v)) &= \tau_{X,V}(R\Delta(a)(x \otimes v)) = \tau_{X,V}(\Delta^{op}(a)R(x \otimes v)) = \\ &= \Delta(a)\tau_{X,V}(R(x \otimes v)) = a \cdot c_{X,V}(x \otimes v). \end{aligned}$$

We also have to check (1), i.e., the relation

$$c_{X \otimes Y, V}(x \otimes y \otimes v) = (c_{X,V} \otimes id_Y)(id_X \otimes c_{Y,V})(x \otimes y \otimes v).$$

The left-hand side equals to  $\tau_{X \otimes Y, V}((\Delta \otimes id_A)(R)(x \otimes y \otimes v))$  and the right-hand side equals to  $\tau_{X \otimes Y, V}(R_{12}R_{13}(x \otimes y \otimes v))$ , so the above equality holds by the definition of  $R$ . This means that  $\mathcal{G}(V) = (V, c_{-,V})$  is an object of  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ .

Let us check that  $\mathcal{G}(f) := f$  (where  $f : V \rightarrow W$  is a morphism in  $\text{Rep}(D(G))$ ) is a morphism in  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$ . By definition, it is  $A$ -linear. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ((f \otimes id_X)c_{X,V})(x \otimes v) &= \tau_{X,W}((id_X \otimes f)(R(x \otimes v))) = \\ &= \tau_{X,W}(R(x \otimes f(v))) = c_{X,W}((id_X \otimes f)(x \otimes v)) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in X, v \in V$ . This proves (2).

(v) Clearly,  $\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G} = id$ . Lemma 2.8 implies  $\mathcal{G} \circ \mathcal{F} = id$ , so the braided tensor categories  $\text{Rep}(D(G))$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(\text{Rep}(G))$  are equivalent.  $\square$

### 3 Lecture 3. Duality. Ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras.

#### 1) Duality.

**Definition 3.1** A strict tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$  is said to have a left duality if for each  $V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  there exist  $V^* \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$  and morphisms

$$b_V : I \rightarrow V \otimes V^* \quad \text{and} \quad d_V : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow I$$

in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$(id_V \otimes d_V)(b_V \otimes id_V) = id_V \quad \text{and} \quad (d_V \otimes id_{V^*})(id_{V^*} \otimes b_V) = id_{V^*} \quad (6)$$

**Example 3.2** 1. Let us consider the strict tensor category  $\text{Vec}_f(k)$ , let  $V$  be an object of this category and  $V^*$  be its dual vector space. Let us define the maps  $b_V : k \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$  and  $d_V : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow k$  by

$$b_V(1) = \sum_i v_i \otimes v^i \quad \text{and} \quad d_V(v^i \otimes v_j) = \langle v^i, v_j \rangle,$$

where  $\{v_i\}_i$  is any basis of  $V$  and  $\{v^i\}_i$  is the dual basis of  $V^*$ .

**Exercise.** Check that these definitions do not depend on the choice of the bases and that these maps verify the conditions (6).

2. Let  $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$  be a Hopf algebra. Consider the strict tensor category  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  of finite-dimensional left  $A$ -modules which is a tensor subcategory of  $\text{Rep}(A)$ . Given an object  $V$  of  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$ , we can equip the dual vector space  $V^* = \text{Hom}(V, k)$  with the left action of  $A$  given by

$$\langle a \cdot f, v \rangle := \langle f, S(a) \cdot v \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in A, v \in V, f \in V^*.$$

Let us define, as above, the maps  $b_V : k \rightarrow V \otimes V^*$  and  $d_V : V^* \otimes V \rightarrow k$  by

$$b_V(1) = \sum_i v_i \otimes v^i \quad \text{and} \quad d_V(v^i \otimes v_j) = \langle v^i, v_j \rangle,$$

where  $\{v_i\}_i$  is any basis of  $V$  and  $\{v^i\}_i$  is the dual basis in  $V^*$ . Let us show that they are  $A$ -linear. For all  $a \in A, v \in V, f \in V^*$  we have:

$$d_V(a \cdot (f \otimes v)) = d_V(a_{(1)} \cdot f \otimes (a_{(2)} \cdot v)) = \langle (a_{(1)} \cdot f), (a_{(2)} \cdot v) \rangle =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \langle f, S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)} \cdot v \rangle = \langle f, \varepsilon(a)v \rangle = \varepsilon(a)d_V(f \otimes v) = a \cdot d_V(f \otimes v), \\
a \cdot b_V(1) & = \sum_i (a_{(1)} \cdot v_i) \otimes (a_{(2)} \cdot v^i) = \sum_{i,j} (a_{(1)} \cdot v_i) \otimes \langle a_{(2)} \cdot v^i, v_j \rangle v^j = \\
& = \sum_j (a_{(1)} \sum_i \langle v^i, S(a_{(2)}) \cdot v_j \rangle \cdot v_i) \otimes v^j = \sum_j (a_{(1)} S(a_{(2)}) \cdot v_j) \otimes v^j = \\
& = \varepsilon(a) \sum_j v_j \otimes v^j = b_V(a \cdot 1).
\end{aligned}$$

Now let us show that  $d_V$  and  $b_V$  equip the tensor category  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  with a left duality. We compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
(id_V \otimes d_V)(b_V \otimes id_V)(v) & = (id_V \otimes d_V)(b_V(1) \otimes v) = (id_V \otimes d_V) \sum_i (v_i \otimes v^i \otimes v) = \\
& = \sum_i \langle v^i, v \rangle v_i = v, \\
(d_V \otimes id_{V^*})(id_{V^*} \otimes b_V)(f) & = (d_V \otimes id_{V^*})(f \otimes b_V(1)) = (d_V \otimes id_{V^*}) \sum_i (f \otimes v_i \otimes v^i) = \\
& = \sum_i \langle f, v_i \rangle v^i = f.
\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.3** Given  $V \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ ,  $V^*$  is unique up to a unique isomorphism compatible with  $d_V$  and  $b_V$ , i.e., for any two duals,  $(V_{(1)}^*, d_V^{(1)}, b_V^{(1)})$  and  $(V_{(2)}^*, d_V^{(2)}, b_V^{(2)})$  of  $V$ , there is a unique isomorphism  $\varphi : V_{(1)}^* \rightarrow V_{(2)}^*$  such that  $d_V^{(1)} = d_V^{(2)}(\varphi \otimes id_V)$ ,  $b_V^{(2)} = (id_V \otimes \varphi)b_V^{(1)}$ .

**Proof.** Put  $\varphi = (d_V^{(1)} \otimes id_{V_{(2)}^*})(id_{V_{(1)}^*} \otimes b_V^{(2)})$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
(id_V \otimes \varphi)b_V^{(1)} & = (id_V \otimes d_V^{(1)} \otimes id_{V_{(2)}^*})(id_V \otimes id_{V_{(1)}^*} \otimes b_V^{(2)})b_V^{(1)} = \\
& = (id_V \otimes d_V^{(1)} \otimes id_{V_{(2)}^*})(b_V^{(1)} \otimes id_V \otimes id_{V_{(2)}^*})b_V^{(2)} = b_V^{(2)}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly one can prove the other relation.  $\varphi$  is an isomorphism because, putting  $\varphi^{-1} = (d_V^{(2)} \otimes id_{V_{(1)}^*})(id_{V_{(2)}^*} \otimes b_V^{(1)}) : V_{(2)}^* \rightarrow V_{(1)}^*$ , we have, for example:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi & = (d_V^{(2)} \otimes id_{V_{(1)}^*})(id_{V_{(2)}^*} \otimes b_V^{(1)})\varphi = \\
& = (d_V^{(2)} \otimes id_{V_{(1)}^*})(\varphi \otimes id_V \otimes id_{V_{(1)}^*})(id_{V_{(1)}^*} \otimes b_V^{(1)}) = \\
& = (d_V^{(1)} \otimes id_{V_{(1)}^*})(id_{V_{(1)}^*} \otimes b_V^{(1)}) = id_{V_{(1)}^*}
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly one proves that  $\varphi \circ \varphi^{-1} = id_{V_{(2)}^*}$ .  $\square$

Let us define  $f^* : V^* \rightarrow U^*$  for a morphism  $f : U \rightarrow V$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$f^* = (d_V \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{V^*} \otimes f \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{V^*} \otimes b_U).$$

This allows to extend duality to a functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . Indeed, we have

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a strict tensor category with left duality.*

(a) *If  $f : V \rightarrow W$ ,  $g : U \rightarrow V$  are two morphisms, then  $(f \circ g)^* = g^* \circ f^*$  and  $(id_V)^* = id_{V^*}$ .*

(b) *For any  $U, V, W \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ , we have natural bijections:*

$$Hom(U \otimes V, W) \cong Hom(U, W \otimes V^*), \quad \text{and} \quad Hom(U^* \otimes V, W) \cong Hom(V, U \otimes W).$$

(c) *For any pair  $(V, W)$  of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$ , the objects  $(V \otimes W)^*$  and  $W^* \otimes V^*$  are isomorphic.*

**Proof.** (a) **Exercise.** Check that  $(id_V)^* = id_{V^*}$ .

Now, for  $f : V \rightarrow W$ ,  $g : U \rightarrow V$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} g^* \circ f^* &= (d_V \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{V^*} \otimes g \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{V^*} \otimes b_U) \circ f^* = \\ &= (d_V(f^* \otimes g) \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes b_U) = \\ &= (d_V[(d_W \otimes id_{V^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes f \otimes id_{V^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes b_V) \otimes g] \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes b_U) = \\ &= (d_W \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes (f \circ (id_V \otimes d_V)(b_V \otimes id_V) \circ g) \otimes id_{U^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes b_U) = (f \circ g)^*. \end{aligned}$$

(b) For  $f \in Hom(U \otimes V, W)$  and  $g \in Hom(U, W \otimes V^*)$ , we define elements

$$f^\sharp = (f \otimes id_{V^*})(id_U \otimes b_V) \quad \text{and} \quad g^\flat = (id_W \otimes d_V)(g \otimes id_V)$$

of  $Hom(U, W \otimes V^*)$  and  $Hom(U \otimes V, W)$ , respectively. The definition of duality implies that  $(f^\sharp)^\flat = f$  and  $(g^\flat)^\sharp = g$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} (f^\sharp)^\flat &= (id_W \otimes d_V)(f \otimes id_{V^*} \otimes id_V)(id_U \otimes b_V \otimes id_V) = \\ &= f \circ (id_U \otimes id_V \otimes d_V)(id_U \otimes b_V \otimes id_V) = f \circ (id_U \otimes id_V) = f, \\ (g^\flat)^\sharp &= (id_W \otimes d_V \otimes id_{V^*})(g \otimes id_V \otimes id_{V^*})(id_U \otimes b_V) = \\ &= (id_W \otimes d_V \otimes id_{V^*})(id_W \otimes id_{V^*} \otimes b_V) \circ g = g. \end{aligned}$$

The other bijection can be proved similarly.

c) Due to Lemma 3.3, it suffices to show that  $W^* \otimes V^*$  is dual to  $V \otimes W$  with  $d_{V \otimes W} = d_W(id_{W^*} \otimes d_V \otimes id_W)$  and  $b_{V \otimes W} = (id_V \otimes b_W \otimes id_V)b_V$ . For example, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (id_{V \otimes W} \otimes d_{V \otimes W})(b_{V \otimes W} \otimes id_{V \otimes W}) &= (id_{V \otimes W} \otimes d_W)(id_{V \otimes W} \otimes id_{W^*} \otimes d_V \otimes id_W) \times \\ &\times (id_V \otimes b_W \otimes id_{V^*} \otimes id_{V \otimes W})(b_V \otimes id_{V \otimes W}) = (id_{V \otimes W} \otimes d_W)(id_V \otimes b_W \otimes d_V \otimes id_W) \times \\ &\times (b_V \otimes id_{V \otimes W}) = (id_V \otimes id_W \otimes d_W)(id_V \otimes b_W \otimes id_W) = id_{V \otimes W} \end{aligned}$$

and similarly one proves that  $(d_{V \otimes W} \otimes id_{W^* \otimes V^*})(id_{W^* \otimes V^*} \otimes b_{V \otimes W}) = id_{W^* \otimes V^*}$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.5** *Explicitly, if we define morphisms  $\lambda_{V,W} : W^* \otimes V^* \rightarrow (V \otimes W)^*$  and  $\lambda_{V,W}^{-1} : (V \otimes W)^* \rightarrow W^* \otimes V^*$ , respectively, by*

$$\lambda_{V,W} = (d_W \otimes id_{(V \otimes W)^*})(id_{W^*} \otimes d_V \otimes id_{W \otimes (V \otimes W)^*})(id_{W^* \otimes V^*} \otimes b_{V \otimes W}),$$

$$\lambda_{V,W}^{-1} = (d_{V \otimes W} \otimes id_{W^* \otimes V^*})(id_{(V \otimes W)^* \otimes V} \otimes b_W \otimes id_{V^*})(id_{(V \otimes W)^*} \otimes b_V),$$

*then one can check that  $\lambda_{V,W}^{-1}$  is indeed inverse to  $\lambda_{V,W}$ .*

There is a similar notion of a right duality: we say that a strict tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I)$  has a right duality if for each object  $V$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  there exist an object  ${}^*V$  and morphisms

$$b'_V : I \rightarrow {}^*V \otimes V \quad \text{and} \quad d'_V : V \otimes {}^*V \rightarrow I$$

of this category such that

$$(d'_V \otimes id_V)(id_V \otimes b'_V) = id_V \quad \text{and} \quad (id_{{}^*V} \otimes d'_V)(b'_V \otimes id_{{}^*V}) = id_{{}^*V}.$$

Then we define, for any morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$ , a morphism  ${}^*f : {}^*W \rightarrow {}^*V$  by

$${}^*f = (id_{{}^*V} \otimes d'_W)(id_{{}^*V} \otimes f \otimes id_{{}^*W})(b'_V \otimes id_{{}^*W})$$

and prove, like in the previous proposition, that the map  $V \rightarrow {}^*V$  can be extended to a functor.

In general, left and right dualities are different, but if  $\mathcal{C}$  has right and left duality (such categories are called autonomous), then one can show that  ${}^*(V^*) \cong V \cong ({}^*V)^*$  for any object  $V$ . The proof is based on the following natural isomorphisms:

$$Hom(U, ({}^*V)^* \otimes W) \cong Hom(V^* \otimes U, W) \cong Hom(U, V \otimes W),$$

the first one being implied by the right, and the second one - by the left duality.

**Example 3.6** 1. *The right duality in the category  $Vec_f(k)$  can be defined, for any object  $V$  and its dual  ${}^*V = V^*$ , by the maps*

$$b'_V(1) = \sum_i v^i \otimes v_i \quad \text{and} \quad d'_V(v_i \otimes v^j) = \langle v^j, v_i \rangle$$

using the same notations as above. So, the category  $Vec_f(k)$  is autonomous.

If the antipode  $S$  of a Hopf algebra  $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$  is invertible and  $V$  is an object of  $Rep_f(A)$ , we can equip the same dual vector space  ${}^*V = V^* = Hom(V, k)$  with another left action of  $A$  given by

$$\langle a \cdot f, v \rangle := \langle f, S^{-1}(a) \cdot v \rangle \quad \text{for all } a \in A, v \in V, f \in {}^*V$$

and introduce maps  $b'_V : k \rightarrow {}^*V \otimes V$  and  $d'_V : V \otimes {}^*V \rightarrow k$  by

$$b'_V(1) = \sum_i v^i \otimes v_i \quad \text{and} \quad d'_V(v_i \otimes v^j) = \langle v^j, v_i \rangle$$

using the same notations as above. Then one can check that these maps are  $A$ -linear and equip the strict tensor category  $Rep_f(A)$  with a right duality, so this category is autonomous.

## 2) Ribbon categories.

**Definition 3.7** A strict braided tensor category  $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, c)$  with left duality is said to be **ribbon** if it has a family  $\theta : V \rightarrow V$  of natural isomorphisms indexed by the objects  $V$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that

$$\theta_{V \otimes W} = (\theta_V \otimes \theta_W) c_{W, V} \circ c_{V, W} \quad \text{and} \quad \theta_{V^*} = \theta_V^*.$$

Such a family  $\theta_V$  is called a **twist**. Its naturality means that  $\theta_W \circ f = f \circ \theta_V$  for any morphism  $f : V \rightarrow W$ .

**Lemma 3.8** a)  $\theta_I = id_I$ .

b) For all objects  $V, W$  of a ribbon category  $\mathcal{C}$  we have

$$\theta_{V \otimes W} = c_{W,V} \circ c_{V,W}(\theta_V \otimes \theta_W) = c_{W,V}(\theta_W \otimes \theta_V)c_{V,W}.$$

**Proof.** a) If  $V = W = I$ , the definition of a twist gives  $\theta_{I \otimes I} = (\theta_I \otimes \theta_I)c_{I,I}c_{I,I}$ . But the first hexagon axiom in the definition of braiding with  $U = V = W = I$  implies for strict tensor categories:  $c_{I,I} = c_{I,I} \circ c_{I,I}$ , so that  $c_{I,I} = id_I$ . Now, the naturality of the identification of  $V \otimes I$  with  $I$  gives  $\theta_{I \otimes I} = \theta_I \otimes id_I = id_I \otimes \theta_I$  which gives the first statement.

b) Follows from the naturality of  $c_{V,W}$  which gives  $(\theta_W \otimes \theta_V)c_{V,W} = c_{V,W}(\theta_V \otimes \theta_W)$  for all  $V, W \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.9** 1.  $Vec_f$  is a ribbon category with the trivial twist  $\theta_V = id_V$ .

2. **Exercise.** Show that any symmetric tensor category  $\mathcal{C}$  with left duality is a ribbon category with the trivial twist  $\theta_V = id_V$ . In particular, such is the category  $Rep_f(A)$ , where  $A$  is a cocommutative Hopf algebra or a braided Hopf algebra whose universal  $R$ -matrix  $r$  verifies  $\tau_{A,A}(R) = R^{-1}$ .

Using the braiding and the twist, we can define morphisms  $b'_V : I \rightarrow V^* \otimes V$  and  $d'_V : V \otimes V^* \rightarrow I$  for any object  $V$  of a ribbon category  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$b'_V = (id_{V^*} \otimes \theta_V)c_{V,V^*} \circ b_V \quad \text{and} \quad d'_V = d_V \circ c_{V,V^*}(\theta_V \otimes id_{V^*}).$$

It can be shown (see [1]) that  $b'_V$  and  $d'_V$  equip  $\mathcal{C}$  with right duality, where  ${}^*V = V^*$  and that the object  $V^{**} = (V^*)^*$  is canonically isomorphic to  $V$  for all  $V, W \in Ob(\mathcal{C})$ .

### 3) Ribbon Hopf algebras.

Let  $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon, R)$  be a braided Hopf algebra with a universal  $R$ -matrix  $R = R_{(1)} \otimes R_{(2)}$ ,  $R^{-1} = (R^{-1})_{(1)} \otimes (R^{-1})_{(2)} \in A \otimes A$ , and let us put  $u = S(R_{(2)})R_{(1)}$ . This element is called the **Drinfeld element** of a braided Hopf algebra.

**Lemma 3.10**  $u^{-1} = S^{-1}((R^{-1})_{(2)})(R^{-1})_{(1)}$ ,  $uS(u) = S(u)u \in Z(A)$ ,  $\Delta(u) = (R_{21}R)^{-1}(u \otimes u)$ ,  $\varepsilon(u) = 1$  and  $S^2(a) = uau^{-1}$  for all  $a \in A$ .

**Proof.** (a) First, we show that  $S(a_{(2)})ua_{(1)} = \varepsilon(a)u$  for all  $a \in A$ . Indeed, using properties of  $R$  and the axioms of a Hopf algebra, we compute:

$$\begin{aligned} S(a_{(2)})ua_{(1)} &= S(a_{(2)})S(R_{(2)})R_{(1)}a_{(1)} = S(R_{(2)}a_{(2)})R_{(1)}a_{(1)} = \\ &= S(a_{(1)}R_{(2)})a_{(2)}R_{(1)} = S(R_{(2)})S(a_{(1)})a_{(2)}R_{(1)} = \varepsilon(a)u. \end{aligned}$$

Using this relation and again the axioms of a Hopf algebra, we have, denoting  $(id \otimes \Delta)\Delta(a) = a_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)} \otimes a_{(3)}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} ua &= S(\varepsilon(a_{(2)}))1ua_{(1)} = S(a_{(2)}S(a_{(3)}))ua_{(1)} = S^2(a_{(3)})S(a_{(2)})ua_{(1)} = \\ &= S^2(a_{(2)})\varepsilon(a_{(1)})u = S^2(a)u. \end{aligned}$$

Using this relation, we can now check that  $u$  is invertible and  $u^{-1} = v = S^{-1}((R^{-1})_{(2)})(R^{-1})_{(1)}$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} uv &= uS^{-1}((R^{-1})_{(2)})(R^{-1})_{(1)} = S((R^{-1})_{(2)})u(R^{-1})_{(1)} = \\ &= S((R^{-1})_{(2)})S(R_{(2)})R_{(1)}(R^{-1})_{(1)} = S(R_{(2)}(R^{-1})_{(2)})R_{(1)}(R^{-1})_{(1)} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and  $1 = uv = S^2(v)u$ . Thus,  $S^2(a) = uau^{-1}$  for all  $a \in A$ , in particular,  $S^2(u) = u$ .

(b) Let us show that  $uS(u) = S(u)u \in Z(A)$ . Relation  $ua = S^2(a)u$ , for any  $a \in A$ , implies  $S(a)S(u) = S(u)S^3(a)$  or, replacing  $a$  by  $S^{-1}(a)$ ,  $aS(u) = S(u)S^2(a) = S(u)uau^{-1}$ . Therefore,  $aS(u)u = S(u)ua$ , so  $S(u)u \in Z(A)$ . Putting  $a = u$ , we have  $uS(u) = S(u)u$ .

(c) Using the axioms of a Hopf algebra we have  $\varepsilon(u) = \varepsilon(S(R_{(2)})R_{(1)}) = \varepsilon(S(R_{(2)})\varepsilon(R_{(1)})) = \varepsilon(S(\varepsilon(R_{(1)}R_{(2)})) = 1$ , the last equality due to the relation  $(\varepsilon \otimes id_A)(R) = 1$  (see exercise in Lecture 1).

(d) Let us compute  $\Delta(u)$ . Applying the flip  $\tau_{A,A}$  to the relation  $\Delta^{op}(a)R = R\Delta(a)$ , we get  $\Delta(a)R_{21} = R_{21}\Delta^{op}(a)$ , and using again the above mentioned relation, we get  $\Delta(a)R_{21}R = R_{21}R\Delta(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . So, to get the needed result for  $\Delta(u)$ , it suffices to show that  $\Delta(u)R_{21}R = u \otimes u$ . We compute, using the last relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(u)R_{21}R &= \Delta(S(R_{(2)})R_{(1)})R_{21}R = \\ &= (S \otimes S)\Delta^{op}(R_{(2)})\Delta(R_{(1)})R_{21}R = (S \otimes S)\Delta^{op}(R_{(2)})R_{21}R\Delta(R_{(1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the following right action of the algebra  $A \otimes A \otimes A \otimes A$  on  $A \otimes A$ :

$$(a \otimes b) \cdot (X \otimes Y) := (S \otimes S)(X)(a \otimes b)Y, \quad \text{where } a, b \in A, X, Y \in A \otimes A.$$

Then the right hand side of the last equality can be viewed as the action on  $R_{21}$  of the element  $R\Delta(R_{(1)}) \otimes \Delta^{op}(R_{(2)}) = (R \otimes 1 \otimes 1)(R_{(1)} \otimes 1 \otimes \Delta^{op}(R_{(2)}))(1 \otimes R_{(1)}\Delta^{op}(R_{(2)})) = R_{12}R_{13}R_{23}R_{14}R_{24} = R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}R_{14}R_{24}$ , and we can evaluate this element step by step.

Using the formula  $R^{-1} = (id_A \otimes S^{-1})(R)$  from Lecture 1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} R_{21} \cdot R_{23} &= (S \otimes S)(R'_{(2)} \otimes 1)R_{21}(1 \otimes R'_{(1)}) = S(R'_{(2)})R_{(2)} \otimes R_{(1)}R'_{(1)} = \\ &= (S \otimes id_A)(S^{-1}(R_{(2)})R'_{(2)}) \otimes R_{(1)}R'_{(1)} = 1 \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $R_{21} \cdot (R_{23}R_{13}) = (1 \otimes 1) \cdot R_{13} = (S \otimes S)(R_{(2)} \otimes 1)(R_{(1)} \otimes 1) = u \otimes 1$ .

Next,

$$R_{21} \cdot (R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}) = (u \otimes 1) \cdot R_{12} = (u \otimes 1)R$$

and, using again the formula  $R^{-1} = (id_A \otimes S^{-1})(R)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{21} \cdot (R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}R_{14}) &= (u \otimes 1)R \cdot R_{12} = (S \otimes S)(1 \otimes R'_{(2)})(u \otimes 1)R(R'_{(1)} \otimes 1) = \\ &= (u \otimes 1)(R_{(1)}R'_{(1)} \otimes S(S^{-1}(R_{(2)})R'_{(2)})) = u \otimes 1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{21} \cdot (R_{23}R_{13}R_{12}R_{14}R_{24}) &= \\ &= (u \otimes 1) \cdot R_{24} = (S \otimes S)(1 \otimes R_{(2)})(u \otimes 1)(1 \otimes R_{(1)}) = (u \otimes u), \end{aligned}$$

so we have the needed result.  $\square$

**Definition 3.11** A braided Hopf algebra  $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon, R)$  is said to be a **ribbon Hopf algebra** if there exists an invertible element  $\theta \in Z(A)$  such that

$$\Delta(\theta) = (R_{21}R)^{-1}(\theta \otimes \theta), \quad \varepsilon(\theta) = 1, \quad S(\theta) = \theta.$$

Relation between ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras is given by the following

**Proposition 3.12** For any ribbon Hopf algebra  $A$  with  $\theta \in Z(A)$  as above, the strict tensor category  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  is ribbon with twist  $\theta_V$  defined on any finite-dimensional  $A$ -module  $V$  by the action of  $\theta^{-1}$ .

Conversely, if  $A$  is a finite-dimensional braided Hopf algebra and the braided category  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  with left duality is ribbon, then  $A$  is a ribbon Hopf algebra.

**Proof.** (a) Let  $A$  be a ribbon Hopf algebra with the distinguished invertible element  $\theta \in Z(A)$ . Then we have explained above that  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  is a braided category with left and right duality. Let us define an endomorphism of any object  $V$  of this category by  $\theta_V(v) := \theta^{-1} \cdot v$  for any  $v \in V$ . Since  $\theta \in Z(A)$  and is invertible,  $\theta_V$  is an  $A$ -linear endomorphism of  $V$ . Let us prove that it is a twist:

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta_V \otimes \theta_W)c_{W,V}c_{V,W}(v \otimes w) &= (\theta^{-1} \otimes \theta^{-1})(R_{21}R)(v \otimes w) = \\ &= \Delta(\theta^{-1})(v \otimes w) = \theta_{V \otimes W}(v \otimes w) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $v \in V, w \in W$  and, for all  $v \in V, \alpha \in V^*$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\theta_V)^*(\alpha), v \rangle &= \langle \alpha, \theta_V(v) \rangle = \langle \alpha, \theta^{-1}(v) \rangle = \langle \alpha, S(\theta^{-1})(v) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \theta^{-1}\alpha, v \rangle = \langle \theta_{V^*}(\alpha), v \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We now assume that the Hopf algebra  $(A, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$  is finite-dimensional and that the category  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  is ribbon. In particular,  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  is braided which implies that  $A$  is braided. Since  $\dim(A) < +\infty$ , it can be viewed as an object of the category  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$ , so we can consider the corresponding twist  $\theta_A$ . Let us define  $\theta := (\theta_A(1))^{-1}$ . By the naturality of the twist, we have for any object  $V$  of  $\text{Rep}_f(A)$  and for any  $v \in V$ :  $\theta_V(v) = \theta_A(1)v = \theta^{-1}v$ . The  $A$ -linearity of  $\theta_A$  implies that  $\theta \in Z(A)$ . The relations in the definition of a twist imply, respectively,

$$\Delta(\theta^{-1}) = (\theta^{-1} \otimes \theta^{-1})(R_{21}R), \quad \text{and} \quad S(\theta^{-1}) = \theta^{-1}.$$

Finally, the relation  $\varepsilon(\theta) = 1$  follows from Lemma 3.8 (a). □

One can show (see [1]) that this proposition implies the following

**Corollary 3.13** The element  $\theta^2$  of a ribbon Hopf algebra  $A$  acts as  $uS(u)$  on any  $V \in \text{Rep}_f(A)$ , so  $\theta^2 = uS(u)$ .

#### 4) Quantum trace and quantum dimension in ribbon categories.

Applications of ribbon categories and ribbon Hopf algebras to computation of invariants of knots and 3-dimensional varieties (see [2]) are heavily based on the notions of quantum trace of endomorphisms and of quantum dimension of objects of a ribbon category.

**Definition 3.14** For any object  $V$  of a ribbon category  $\mathcal{C}$  and any endomorphism  $f$  of  $V$ , the **quantum trace**  $tr_q(f)$  of  $f$  is defined as the following element of the monoid  $End(I)$ :

$$tr_q(f) = d'_V(f \otimes id_{V^*})b_V = d_V c_{V, V^*}((\theta_V \circ f) \otimes id_{V^*})b_V.$$

**Exercise.** Show that this definition gives the usual trace if  $\mathcal{C} = Vec_f(k)$ .

We formulate without proof the following

**Theorem 3.15** If  $f$  and  $g$  are endomorphisms in a ribbon category, then:

- (a)  $tr_q(f \circ g) = tr_q(g \circ f)$  whenever  $f$  and  $g$  are composable.
- (b)  $tr_q(f \otimes g) = tr_q(f)tr_q(g)$ , and
- (c)  $tr_q(f) = tr_q(f^*)$  in the monoid  $End(I)$ .

**Definition 3.16** For any object  $V$  of a ribbon category, the quantum dimension is defined by

$$dim_q(V) = tr_q(id_V) = d'_V \circ b_V \in End(I).$$

**Corollary 3.17** For any objects  $V$  and  $W$  of a ribbon category we have

$$dim_q(V \otimes W) = dim_q(V) \circ dim_q(W), \quad dim_q(V^*) = dim_q(V).$$

Now we are able to compute quantum trace and quantum dimension in the category  $Rep_f(A)$  over a ribbon Hopf algebra  $A$ .

**Proposition 3.18** Let  $f \in End(V)$ ,  $V \in Ob(Rep_f(A))$ , where  $A$  is a ribbon Hopf algebra. Then

$$tr_q(f) = tr(v \mapsto \theta^{-1}u f(v)).$$

In particular,  $dim_q(V)$  equals to the trace of the linear map  $v \mapsto \theta^{-1}u \cdot v$  on  $V$ .

**Proof.** Using the definitions of  $d'_V$  and of  $u$  and the Proposition 3.12, we get:

$$d'_V(v \otimes \alpha) = \langle R_{(2)} \cdot \alpha, R_{(1)} \theta^{-1} \cdot v \rangle = \langle \alpha, S(R_{(2)}) R_{(1)} \theta^{-1} \cdot v \rangle = \langle \alpha, u \theta^{-1} \cdot v \rangle,$$

therefore,

$$tr_q(f) = d'_V(f \otimes id_{V^*})b_V = \sum_i \langle v^i, \theta^{-1}u \cdot f(v_i) \rangle,$$

which is the usual trace of the linear endomorphism  $v \mapsto \theta^{-1}u \cdot f(v)$ . □

**Example 3.19** (Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra).

Let us consider the braided Hopf algebra of Example 1.18 and compute that  $u = S(u) = x$  independently on  $q$ . This gives  $uS(u) = x^2 = 1$ , so this Hopf algebra is ribbon with  $\theta = 1$ .

## References

- [1] Ch. Kassel, *Quantum Groups*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, **155** (1995), 551pp.
- [2] Ch. Kassel, M. Rosso, and V. Turaev, *Quantum groups and knot invariants*, Panoramas et Synthèses, Soc. Math. France, Paris, **5** (1997), 115pp.